# Analytical method for the analysis of cocaine biomarkers in wastewater

**Deliverable D3.2** 





EUSEME has received funding from the European Union's Justice Programme — Drugs Policy Initiatives under grant agreement No 861602





	Analytical method for the analysis of cocaine biomarkers in
Title:	wastewater

Grant agreement no:	861602	
Work Package:	WP3 – Crack cocaine	
Deliverable number:	D3.2	
Partner responsible:	KWR	
Deliverable author(s):	Frederic Béen	
Quality assurance:	All partners	
Planned delivery date:	14 May 2020	
Actual delivery date:	12 April 2022	
Dissemination level:	CO	
	PU = Public	
	PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)	
	RE = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)	
	CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	



## **Table of contents**

Executive Summary	1
Materials and reagents	2
Sample preparation	2
Analytical method	2



# **Executive Summary**

This deliverable consists of the analytical method which was developed for the analysis of crack cocaine biomarkers in wastewater samples.



## **Materials and reagents**

Reference standards of anhydroecgonine and anhydroecgonine methyl ester and their deuterated standards were purchased from Lipomed AG (Lipomed, Arlesheim, Switserland). Acetonitrile, ammonium hydroxide and methanol (ultra-gradient HPLC grade) were obtained from Boom B.V. (Meppel, the Netherlands). Formic acid and hydrochloric acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Stock solutions of the reference standards, including internal standards, were prepared at a concentration of 3.5 mg/L in acetonitrile. Individual stock solutions were stored at -20 °C. Working solutions containing all individual standards were freshly prepared in acetonitrile with 5% ultrapure water (18.2 M $\Omega$ /cm, ELGA LabWater, Lane End, UK) (35 µg/L) each time a new set of samples was processed and analyzed.

### **Sample preparation**

For solid-phase extraction, 50 mL of each sample was transferred in a precleaned HDPE bottle. Internal standard work solution was added to each sample to reach a concentration of 100 ng/L in wastewater and the sample was adjusted to pH = 2.0 with HCI. Samples were horizontally shaken for 5 min at 120 rpm and filtered through a 0.20  $\mu$ m filter. Samples were then extracted with Oasis MCX cartridges (3 mL, 60 mg, Waters, USA). Cartridges were washed 6 mL of methanol, followed by 3 mL of ultrapure water and 3 mL of acidified ultrapure water (pH = 2.0). Samples were then gently loaded onto the cartridges. Subsequently, the cartridges were washed with 6 mL of acidified ultrapure water (pH = 2.0) and dried under vacuum for 1 h. Thereafter, the cartridges were eluted with 6 mL of MeOH with 2% ammonium hydroxide. Eluates were collected in glass tubes and evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen at 40 °C. Eluates were then reconstituted in 500  $\mu$ L in acetonitrile with 5% ultrapure water and vortexed for 5 seconds. The extract was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter and transferred in 1.8 mL vials with inserts for analysis.

### **Analytical method**

A Tribrid Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source was interfaced to a Vanquish HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Every batch run mass calibration was performed using a Pierce ESI positive ion calibration solution. The ion transfer tube temperature and the vaporizer temperature were set to 300 °C and 350 °C respectively. The sheath, auxiliary and sweep gas were maintained at arbitrary units of 45, 5 and 5 respectively. The source voltage was set to 3000 V in positive mode. The RF lens was set to 60% and the scan range was set in the range of 100-400 m/z. The Orbitrap resolution was set to 120,000 FWHM and the quadruple isolation was used for acquisition with a 5 ppm mass window. Data-dependent acquisition was performed with a High Collision Dissociation (HCD) of 30%.



For the chromatographic separation an Agilent Zorbax HILIC plus (150 mm x 2.1 mm, 1.8  $\mu$ m) connected to a krudkatcher ULTRA HPLC In-line Filter, 0.5  $\mu$ m was used. The column temperature was maintained at 25 °C. Mobile phase A consisted of 95% ultrapure water and 5% acetonitrile (v/v) with 5 mM ammonium formate at a pH = 3. Mobile phase B consisted of 95% acetonitrile and 5% ultrapure water (v/v) with 5 mM ammonium formate at a pH = 3. A linear gradient from 100% B to 20% B in 15 min was used. Next, B was held at 20% for 5 min. Then %B was increased to 100% in 1 min and after this the column was equilibrated at 100% B for 6 min which results in a total run time of 27 min. The flow rate was 0.300 mL/min and 50  $\mu$ L of sample was injected onto the LC column.